

Statistics 434 : Bullet Points for Day 11 Betting on AR(1) and an Introduction to EMHs

After a brief review, we see what the Kelly criterion suggests when we consider a return process given by an AR(1) model. This works forms the basis for Homework 5, which offers a bump-up in challenge.

We then look the Devil in the eye and open the discussion of the Efficient Market Hypothesis — or Hypotheses. This is one of those thing that everyone thinks they understand, and they do — right up to the point where they try to be mathematically precise in what they say. The world then starts to look just a little more subtle.

- Review of Kelly Principle for IID returns
- What is the relevant analog for AR(1)?
 1. Conditional vs. Unconditional Means
 2. A “Fermi Calculation” in AR(1)
 3. Practical Variations
- Discussion of Homework 5 — Things Getting Serious
- Stalking Horses: The Efficient Market Hypotheses (EMHs)
 1. Three (or more) Versions – none 100% precise
 2. Some Specifics for Random Walk: Testing Diffusivity
 3. Grossly practical considerations
 - (a) Indexing and practical choices
 - (b) “Total Balance” and the only source of “excess returns”
 - (c) The predictable returns of the average mutual fund
 - (d) The daunting challenge of judging performance
 - (e) The Paradox of stupid investments
- Brief Reflection on the “Big Picture”

THREE QUOTES FOR TODAY

“Why not invest your assets in the companies you really like? As Mae West said, ‘Too much of a good thing can be wonderful.’ ” — Warren Buffett

“It has been my experience that competency in mathematics, both in numerical manipulations and in understanding its conceptual foundations, enhances a person’s ability to handle the more ambiguous and qualitative relationships that dominate our day-to-day financial decision-making.” — Alan Greenspan

“The rich get richer. Not only because they have surpluses with which to invest, but because of the overriding emotional release they experience from having wealth.” — Stuart Wilde