Linking Goodness of Fit to Economic Gains

What's the dollar benefit of more accurate predictions?



Bob Stine Department of Statistics, Wharton



slides on web page after conference

Common Class Project

Challenge students taking regression to build a model used to

predict sales forecast demand ...

Success shown by

Student communicates business implications

Higher R²





How does fit convert to \$?

Students recognize that higher R² means a "better fit", but what is the economic value?

No matter which is used, how to you convert improvements of the model into dollars?

For example

R² of a model increases from 50% to 70% Can you convert that to money?

Generic scenario?



News-vendor Problem

Context

- Unknown demand
- Perishable good
- How much to make?

electronics

foods fashion

cars

Uncertainty in demand implies wasted opportunity

Make too much: leftover product

Make too little: unmet demand











Solution to News-vendor

Notation

Demand D is random variable

Cost to manufacture cost

Selling price price

How much to supply?

Expected marginal value of m^{th} item is price P(D > m) - cost

Continue making so long as positive marginal value



Implication of Uncertainty

Add assumption $D \sim N(10000, \sigma^2)$

Label target to make $\theta = \mu + \sigma z_{\pi}$

%profit=0.75

σ=2500 θ≈11,700





Implication of Uncertainty

Add assumption $D \sim N(10000, \sigma^2)$

Label target to make $\theta = \mu + \sigma z_{\pi}$

%profit=0.75

σ=1000 θ≈10,700





Expected Profit

What's the expected profit?

Easy to find the optimal amount to produce

Harder to find associated expected profit

'Modern' approach

Just do it: calculate the expected profit of each item Add them up

Expected Value of Item



Items Made

plot shows every 200th value

Expected Profit

What's the expected profit?

Discrete calculation is exact

Hard to see forest for all the trees!

Approximation is simple in normal case Assume choose optimal production amount Expression for profit is linear in σ Maximum 'gain' for small σ if cost = 50% of price.



ωis

normal

density

Penalty for Uncertainty

Explicit role for error variation

Effect of profit/price ratio



graph shows values for price=1

Department of Statistics

Penalty for Uncertainty

If you really do like R^2 over σ then...

Better fit means smaller penalty



Wharton Department of Statistics

 $\sigma = 1000$

 $\boldsymbol{||}$

Details: Less Variance

Marginal

 μ =10,000 with σ =2500

Optimal production $\theta = 11,686$ units

Expected profit $750,000 - 79,444 \approx$ \$671,000

cost=\$25 profit=\$75

Regression

 $R^2 = 0.60$

 μ =10,000 with σ =1000

 $\theta = 10,675$ units

Expected profit 750,000 – 31,778≈ \$720,000



What if mean changes too?

Marginal mean ignores possible covariate information that affects mean response

Suppose mean grows as SD falls...

Better fitting models imply that expected response varies with covariate





Example:Wrong Mean

Setup

Marginal: μ =10,000 with σ =2500 Conditional: μ =13,500 with σ =1000

cost=\$25 profit=\$75

Marginal results

Sets θ = 11,686 units, expecting \$675,000 profit Expectation^{*} is really \$875,000. Great!

Regression

 $\mu = 13,500$ with $\sigma = 1000$ implies $\theta = 14,175$ Just left Expected profit is \$980,722 \$100,000 on the table!



Closing Remarks

Opportunity to link to other classes

- Supply chain, operations
- Economics
- Strategic management
- Link to upper level classes
 - Random variables, quantiles
 - Where did that magic formula come from?
- Advantage of calculus over discrete sums The discrete calculation in Excel hides the elegant role of σ and cost/price ratio

