Multiple Regression Model

INSR 260, Spring 2009
Bob Stine

Overview

Multiple Regression Model (MRM)

Estimators, terminology   similar to SRM

Assumptions   new plots

Inference   new test

Prediction   similar to SRM

Examples   (from Bowerman, Ch 4)

   Fuel consumption

   Sales management

Multiple Regression Model

Equation has **k** explanatory variables

Mean $\quad\quad E\ Y|X = \beta_0 + \beta_1\ X_1 + ... + \beta_k\ X_k = \mu_{y|x}$

Observations $\quad y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1\ x_{i1} + ... + \beta_k\ x_{ik} + \varepsilon_i$

Assumptions (as in SRM)

Independent observations

Equal variance σ^2

Normal distribution around "line"

$\quad\quad y_i \sim N(\mu_{y|x}, \sigma^2) \quad\quad\quad\quad \varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

k+2 parameters identify model

$\quad\quad \beta_0,\ \beta_1,\ ...,\ \beta_k,\ \sigma^2$

Least Squares

Criterion

Find estimates that minimize sum of squared deviations
$$\min_a \Sigma (y_i - a_0 - a_1 x_{i1} - \dots - a_k x_{ik})^2$$

Fitted values, residuals

Fitted values (on the line) $\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1 x_{i1} + \dots + b_k x_{ik}$

Residual deviations $e = y - \hat{y}$

Standard error of regression (estimate of σ^2)

$s^2 = \Sigma e_i^2 / (n-k-1)$

degrees of freedom

RMSE = square root of s^2

Goodness of Fit

R-squared statistic

  Square of correlation between Y and $\hat{Y}$

  Percentage of "explained" variation

  Always increases as variables are added to equation

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{Explained SS}}{\text{Total SS}}$$

Adjusted R-squared

  Will not increase unless s^2 gets smaller

  Difference from R^2 increases as k increases

$$\overline{R^2} = 1 - \frac{s^2}{\text{var}(y)}$$

Checking Assumptions

Scatterplots of Y on X_1, Y on X_2

Data for fuel consumption (n = 8)

Data

Scatterplot matrix

y = weekly natural gas consumption

X_1 = average temperature

X_2 = chill index (wind, clouds, temp)

Correlations			
	FUELCONS	TEMP	CHILL
FUELCONS	1.0000	−0.9484	0.8706
TEMP	−0.9484	1.0000	−0.7182
CHILL	0.8706	−0.7182	1.0000

Partial vs Marginal

SRM

Bivariate Fit of FUELCONS By TEMP

Linear Fit

FUELCONS = 15.837857 − 0.1279217*TEMP

Parameter Estimates

Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob>|t|
Intercept	15.837857	0.801773	19.75	<.0001*
TEMP	−0.127922	0.017457	−7.33	0.0003*

Bivariate Fit of FUELCONS By CHILL

Linear Fit

FUELCONS = 7.8494238 + 0.1835399*CHILL

Parameter Estimates

Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob>|t|
Intercept	7.8494238	0.652654	12.03	<.0001*
CHILL	0.1835399	0.042339	4.34	0.0049*

MRM

Summary of Fit

RSquare	0.97363
RSquare Adj	0.963081
Root Mean Square Error	0.367078
Mean of Response	10.2125
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	8

Slopes differ

Parameter Estimates

Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob>|t|
Intercept	13.108737	0.855698	15.32	<.0001*
TEMP	−0.090014	0.014077	−6.39	0.0014*
CHILL	0.082495	0.022003	3.75	0.0133*

More Diagnostics

Overall plots (MRM version of SRM scatterplots)

Leverage plots (partial regression plots)

Simple regression view of MR slope, one for each slope

Inference

- Standard error of the slope is affected by correlation among explanatory variables
  - Variance inflation factor (Chap 5)

    Var(slope in MRM) ≈ Var(slope in SRM) VIF

    $$\mathrm{Var}(b_j) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i (x_{ij} - \overline{x}_j)^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 - R^2_{X_j | X_{m \neq j}}} \right)$$

- Three equivalent methods for each estimated slope and the intercept
  - Confidence interval
  - t-statistic
  - p-value

Parameter Estimates

Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob>|t|	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	VIF
Intercept	13.108737	0.855698	15.32	<.0001*	10.909095	15.308379	.
TEMP	−0.090014	0.014077	−6.39	0.0014*	−0.126201	−0.053827	2.07
CHILL	0.082495	0.022003	3.75	0.0133*	0.0259356	0.1390543	2.07

Overall F Test

- Test both slopes simultaneously

  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$

  Ratio of variance explained to remaining variation

- Test of the size of R^2 statistic

$$F = \frac{R^2/k}{(1-R^2)/(n-k-1)}$$

FUELCONS Predicted P=0.0001
RSq=0.97 RMSE=0.3671

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	2	24.875018	12.4375	92.3031
Error	5	0.673732	0.1347	**Prob > F**
C. Total	7	25.548750		0.0001*

Prediction

- No simple plot
  - Extrapolation effect is more subtle

- Software is needed to identify extrapolation
  - Options in Fit Model to save various standard errors as well as prediction and confidence intervals
  - Add an extra row (before fitting) to get JMP to predict a new case

$\hat{y}$

	FUELCONS	TEMP	CHILL	Pred Formula FUELCONS	StdErr Pred FUELCONS	Lower 95% Mean FUELCONS	Upper 95% Mean FUELCONS	StdErr Indiv FUELCONS	Lower 95% Indiv FUELCONS	Upper 95% Indiv FUELCONS
1	12.4	28	18	12.07	0.21	11.54	12.61	0.42	10.99	13.16
2	11.7	28	14	11.74	0.25	11.11	12.37	0.44	10.61	12.88
3	12.4	32.5	24	12.16	0.21	11.61	12.71	0.43	11.07	13.26
4	10.8	39	22	11.41	0.20	10.89	11.94	0.42	10.33	12.49
5	9.4	45.9	8	9.64	0.16	9.23	10.04	0.40	8.61	10.66
6	9.5	57.8	16	9.23	0.28	8.50	9.95	0.46	8.04	10.41
7	8	58.1	1	7.96	0.22	7.39	8.54	0.43	6.86	9.07
8	7.5	62.5	0	7.48	0.24	6.86	8.11	0.44	6.35	8.61
9	•	70	8	7.47	0.33	6.63	8.31	0.49	6.21	8.73

Sales Example

Question

Evaluation of sales representatives

Response is annual company sales in territory

  y measured in thousands of units

Data are a sample for n = 25 sales representatives

Several explanatory variables

Time (months) with the company

Total sales of company and rivals in territory (potential)

Advertising expenditure in territory

Company's market share in prior four years

Change in company's market share

Initial Graphical Analysis

Scatterplot Matrix

Correlations

	Sales	Time	MktPoten	Adver	MktShare	Change
Sales	1.0000	0.6229	0.5978	0.5962	0.4835	0.4892
Time	0.6229	1.0000	0.4540	0.2492	0.1062	0.2515
MktPoten	0.5978	0.4540	1.0000	0.1741	−0.2107	0.2683
Adver	0.5962	0.2492	0.1741	1.0000	0.2645	0.3765
MktShare	0.4835	0.1062	−0.2107	0.2645	1.0000	0.0855
Change	0.4892	0.2515	0.2683	0.3765	0.0855	1.0000

Multiple Regression

Overall fit

Actual by Predicted Plot

Residual by Predicted Plot

Leverage plots

Leverage Plot

Leverage Plot

Model Summary

Overall fit

Summary of Fit	
RSquare	0.915009
RSquare Adj	0.892643
Root Mean Square Error	430.2319
Mean of Response	3374.568
Observations (or Sum Wgts)	25

Analysis of Variance				
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio
Model	5	37862659	7572532	40.9106
Error	19	3516890	185099	**Prob > F**
C. Total	24	41379549		<.0001*

Individual estimates

- Interpretation of these estimates?

- Why linear? Implications of model are very strong.

Parameter Estimates				
Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob>|t|
Intercept	−1113.788	419.8869	−2.65	0.0157*
Time	3.6121012	1.1817	3.06	0.0065*
MktPoten	0.0420881	0.006731	6.25	<.0001*
Adver	0.1288568	0.037036	3.48	0.0025*
MktShare	256.95554	39.13607	6.57	<.0001*
Change	324.53345	157.2831	2.06	0.0530

Prediction

Conditions for another rep (not one of these 25)

Sales were 3082

Time with company	85.42
Market potential	35,182.73
Advertising	7,281.65
Market share	9.64
Change in share	0.28

Prediction results

Plug values for explanatory variables into equation

Prediction $\hat{y} = 4182$

Confidence interval for mean 3884.9 to 4478.6

Prediction interval for rep   3233.6 to 5129.9

Benchmarking implication: How is this rep doing?

Summary

Multiple Regression Model (MRM)

Estimators   partial (MRM) vs marginal (SRM)

Assumptions   leverage plots

Inference   F-test

Prediction   Software