Multiple Regression

Review from Lecture 3

Review questions from syllabus
- Questions about underlying theory
- Math and notation handout from first class

Review resampling in simple regression
- Regression diagnostics
- Smoothing methods (modern regr)

Methods of resampling – which to use?

Today

Multiple regression
- Leverage, influence, and diagnostic plots
- Collinearity

Resampling in multiple regression
- Ratios of coefficients
- Differences of two coefficients
- Robust regression

Yes! More t-shirts!
Locating a Maximum

**Polynomial regression**

Why bootstrap in least squares regression?

Question
What amount of preparation (in hours) maximizes the average test score?

Quadmax.dat
 Constructed data for this example

**Results of fitting a quadratic**

Scatterplot with added quadratic fit (or smooth)

![Scatterplot with quadratic fit](image)

Least squares regression of the model

\[ Y = a + bX + cX^2 + \epsilon \]

gives the estimates

\[ a = 136 \quad b = 89.2 \quad c = -4.60 \]

So, where’s the maximum and what’s its CI?
**Inference for maximum**

Position of the peak
The maximum occurs where the derivative of the fit is zero. Write the fit as

\[ f(x) = a + bx + cx^2 \]

and then take the derivative,

\[ f'(x) = b + 2cx \]

Solving \( f'(x^*) = 0 \) for \( x^* \) gives

\[ x^* = -\frac{b}{2c} \approx -\frac{89.2}{2(-4.6)} = 9.7 \]

Questions
- What is the standard error of \( x^* \)?
- What is the 95% confidence interval?
- Is the estimate biased?
Bootstrap Results for Max Location

*Standard error and confidence intervals (B=2000)*

Standard error is slightly smaller with fixed resampling (no surprise)…

- Observation resampling: \( SE^* = 0.185 \)
- Fixed resampling: \( SE^* = 0.174 \)

Both 95\% intervals are [9.4, 10]

*Bootstrap distribution*

The kernel looks pretty normal.

Quantile plot reveals a lack of normality
- deviate from normality in the extremes
- “heavy tails” often occur with a ratio
Review - Resampling in Regression

Two approaches

- Resample observations \((X_i \text{ varies})\).
- Resample residuals \((X_i \text{ fixed})\).

Extended comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Residuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equation-dependent</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumption-dependent</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>More</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserves X values</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintains ((X,Y)) assoc</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditional inference</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agrees with usual SE</td>
<td>Maybe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computing speed</td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>Faster</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multiple Regression Model

Model

$$Y = b_0 + b_1 X_1 + ... + b_k X_k + \epsilon$$

where

1. Observations are independence
2. $E(\epsilon) = 0$, $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2$
3. Errors are normally distributed

plus either of the following

- $X$ is “fixed”
- $X$ is independent of $\epsilon$, measured perfectly

Important questions

Which $X_j$ belong in the model?
(a.k.a., variable selection)

Is the role of each predictor linear?

Do the predictors interact? Why additive?

Outliers?

Missing data?

Measurement error?

Easier question

Standard statistics issue:
What is a CI for coefficients if model holds?
Looking at a Multiple Regression

**Example data**  
duncan.dat

Duncan occupational status data, c.1957

Observations are 45 “occupations”
- Y  Prestige = % rating from survey
- X₁  Income = % above $3500
- X₂  Education = % HS

Common data set in Stine & Fox (1997)

**Modeling question**

Regression model
- Prestige = b₀ + b₁Income + b₂Educ + error

Research question
- Which effect is larger?
  - b₁ (income) or b₂ (education)?

Which factor at the time of this data had a larger partial association with how the occupation was rated in this study? Note that the units of the predictors are comparable, so the question is sensible.
Exploratory Plots

**Marginal scatterplots**

Plot of prestige on each predictor reveals
Presence of outlying occupations (which?)

![Graphs showing scatterplots for income and education.](image)

2.46 + 1.08 INCOME  
.284 + .902 EDUCATION

**Comparison marginally**

Income has steeper *marginal* slope.

Differences in regression between  
marginal slope vs. partial slope

Partial slopes are likely to be smaller for both  
– Draw the “graph” of the model  
– Correlation between predictors  
– Direct vs. indirect effects
Scatterplot Matrix

**Connected scatterplots**

Visual correlation matrix
- Marginal plots of Y on each predictor
- Includes plots between predictors

Linking and brushing are very powerful

---

**Outlying occupations**

Minister, railroad conductor and engineer show up as unusual ("special") occupations.

Are these leveraged? Influential?
OLS Fit for Duncan Model

**Fitted model**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-6.0647</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td>0.5987</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC</td>
<td>0.5458</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ R^2 = 0.83 \quad s = 13.4 \]

Both slopes are significantly different from zero.

*Income* has the larger partial slope.

**Are the slopes significantly different?**

Standard approach

Test whether a combination of coefficients is zero. Here, just the difference of slopes.

In general, you can test whether any weighted sum of the coefficients is different from zero.

Difference is not significant \( (t \not\sim 0.3) \)
Graphical Analysis

“Model as lens”

Regression model focuses attention on certain features of the data.

Diagnostic plots

“Standard” residual plots
- Residuals on fit
- Residuals on each predictor, etc.

Leave-one-out diagnostic plots
- leverage on stud. residuals.
- influence plots (Cook’s d)

Diagnostic plots for each predictor
- Partial regression plot
- Partial residual plot

Familiarity with these?
Leverage and Outlier Plots

**Old stand-by**

Plot residuals on fitted values
- Plot suggests things are “OK”

![Residuals on Fitted Values](image)

**Basic diagnostic plots**

Several occupations are clear outliers

![Leverage and Studentized Residuals](image)
Regression Diagnostic Plots

Viewing the multiple regression

Idea

View multiple regression as a collection of “simple” regressions.

Each plot shows a simple regression view of a multiple regression coefficient.

Animated 3-d views

Look at fitted model in 3D.

Diagnostic plots are “edge-on” views
Diagnostic Plots

Two flavors

Partial regression plots

a.k.a. Added variable plots, leverage plots
Emphasize leveraged points, outliers

Interpretation of multiple regression slope
Construction of partial regression plot suggests what it means to “control for the other predictors” in a multiple regression model.

Partial residual plots

a.k.a. Component plots
Emphasize presence of nonlinear trends.
Partial regression plots typically do not show nonlinearity since they show plots of residuals.

Component plots are similar to plots of residuals on original predictors.
Recent research in graphics (D. Cook)
Diagnostic Plots for Duncan

Partial regression plots (added-variable plots)

Show effects of outliers in multiple regression
- Attenuate slope for income

Partial residual plots (component plots)
Diagnostics for the Difference

Impact of leverage points

Question
Do leveraged occupations affect difference?

Slick test: reformulate the model

\[ \text{Prestige} = \beta_0 + \beta_1\text{Inc} + \beta_2\text{Educ} + \epsilon \]
\[ = \beta_0 + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)\text{Inc} + \beta_2(\text{Educ} + \text{Inc}) + \epsilon \]

Coefficient of \text{Income} in reformulated model is the difference of the slopes in original.

Allows diagnostic plots for difference!

Results of reformulated model

Difference in coefficients is \textit{not} significant
- Difference is 0.0529

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-6.0647</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td>0.0529</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INC+ED</td>
<td>0.5458</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>= 0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>= 13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diagnostic Plots

Partial regression plots

Three leveraged points attenuate difference.

What happens without outliers?

Revised fit suggests significant slope.
Further Analysis of Duncan Model

Leveraged / influential occupations

Ministers, railroad conductors, engineers

Fitted model without these

Use point state in plot to filter data.

= point-state ‘normal

Fit with 42 remaining occupations...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-6.32</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ R^2 = 0.876 \quad \text{s} = 11.5 \]

Difference of the slopes now?

Use: test message as before, but with 42 observations gives...

\[ t = 2.43 \rightarrow \text{Significant} \]

Is this honest?

Several subjective steps…
Robust Regression

Goals

Eliminate the subjectivity...
Which points ought we remove?

Fixes problems due to few large residuals.

Ought to control the leverage as well
Some do – they’re called bounded influence.

Iterative calculation

Chicken-or-egg problem
To decide which points are outliers implies that you need to know a fitted line…

But to know which line to fit, you need to know which points are outliers.

Iteratively reweighted least squares

Now you want a faster computer!
Fitting a Robust Regression

Robust Fitting process

Iterations
- Start with OLS (not so good, really)
- Iteratively down-weight “outliers”

Plot weights on residuals
See which have been down-weighted. The down-weighting is done automatically.

Different choices for how to down-weight
- influence functions
- biweight, Huber... see references

Results for Duncan’s data

Estimates using all 45 occupations (the three other occupations are back in for this fitting) via biweight show a difference...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCOME</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDUC</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How to test? Do we believe the SE values offered by the robust regression?
Comparing the Robust Slopes

How to compare the slopes? Test the difference?

Bootstrap!

Are the results similar to the output? To OLS?

Bootstrap results

Big differences
- Bootstrap standard errors are much larger
- Output shows “asymptotic” formulas
- Asymptotics can be poor with small samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asymptotic</th>
<th>Bootstrap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SE(educ)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE(inc)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bootstrap distribution is not normal
Comparison of Robust Slopes

*Compare bootstrap distributions*

AXIS compare command
– use the vertical option

Comparison boxes show $\text{income} > \text{education}$

![Comparison Boxes](image)

**Significant difference?**

Form confidence interval for the differences
Subtract and look at the summary…

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentile</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>-0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-0.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentile interval implies difference is *not* significant.
Dilemma

Why was it significant with OLS without the three outlying occupations, but the robust regression does not think so?

One answer
Robust regression automatically down-weights points that are far from the fit… It down-weights all that are far from fit.

This much less focused than deleting three occupations.

A different answer
When resampling, the outliers may get replicated several times and “overwhelm” the robust regression.
Things to Take Away

**Bootstrap resampling in multiple regression**

Done in the same way as in simple regression.

**Graphical regression analysis**

Powerful tools for understanding a regression.

**Robust regression guards against outliers.**

Difference of robust slopes was large.
Similar to removing the outlier occupations.
“Objective” method allows SE calculation via bootstrap.

**Other topics in regression (not discussed)**

Estimating the accuracy of predictions
Judging the effects of variable selection
Review Questions

How does robust regression differ from LS regression?

Robust regression down-weights observations with extreme residuals, requiring for estimation an iterative procedure known as IRLS (iteratively reweighted least squares).

How are the two methods of resampling in regression affected by outliers?

Fixed X resampling scatters the outlier about the model, whereas random resampling keeps it located in the same spot. If the outlier is leveraged, it will produce bimodal distributions with random resampling, but not with residual resampling. It’s often hard, as we have seen, to decide which is right.

Why are bootstrap intervals often similar to LS intervals? Different for robust estimators?

For residual resampling, the BS standard error agrees with the usual formula, up to a factor of
n/(n-k) (where k = 1 + # fitted slopes)

\[ SE^* = s^2 (X'X)^{-1}, \quad s^2 = \frac{RSS}{n} \]

Things will often differ for observation resampling since now the X’s are random, not fixed, and the sampling variation is larger.

For robust estimators, the “standard formulas” are just rough approximations that are valid only for very large samples, and these approximations are typically inferior to what the BS gives.

**How is a scatterplot matrix different from a correlation matrix? Similar?**

It’s like a graphical correlation matrix. Each plot shows more than a simple numerical value.

**What’s the difference between a component plot and an added variable plot? How are they similar?**

Component plot (partial residual)
- (Resids + Fit) on (Raw X)

Added variable plot (partial regression)
- (Resids of Y on others) on
(Resids on X on others)
Both show a “simple regression view” of a multiple regression slope.

What is the problem with judging significance after removing outliers?
Removing data by hand effectively weights those observations zero, leaving the rest weighted one. How much variation does this manual weighting process introduce? The process is typically also a bit subjective. We often find what we want to find.

How does a simple robust regression determine which points have large/small weight?
The graph of the robust weights on the residuals reveals the underlying function that sets the weights, known as an influence function. Two popular influence (weight) functions are the Huber and biweight.
Why did the BS indicate that the difference in robust slopes was large, but not significant?

Evidently, the “smooth” downweighting of points done by the robust regression has more variation than our discrete elimination of the three leveraged occupations.

Why is the BS density of the robust slope estimator often bimodal?

Enough outliers can overwhelm the robust estimator. It will not eliminate them all, and in this case gives a value unlike that for a sample with fewer outliers.